



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,342	11/08/2001	Mathew Richard Palmer	7310-259	5164
75	90 03/19/2002			
PENNIE & EDMONDS LLP			EXAMINER	
	OF THE AMERICAS IY 10036-2711		FUNK, STEPHEN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2002	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/986,342

Applicant(s)

Palmer et al.

Examiner

Stephen Funk

Art Unit **2854** 



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period f	or Reply				
A SHO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	·			
aft - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any r	er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, considered timely.  period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ation. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be be reiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status	mad patent term adjacement. Oct 57 orn 1.704(b).				
1) 🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 8, 20				
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This act	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>8-12</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4		is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>8-12</u>				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are				
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
13) 💢 a) ∫	under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p  All b) Some* c) None of:	·			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	re been received.  re been received in Application No09/180,502			
		ocuments have been received in this National Stage			
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th</li> </ol>	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachm	ent(s)				
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	7} X   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20)				

Application/Control Number: 09/986,342

Art Unit: 2854

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1 the status of the applications in the continuing data paragraph should be updated. In claim 12 the reference numeral (2) refers to both the tape printer and the tape holding case. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 11 is objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 11 "the recess" and "the upstanding portion" lack proper antecedent bases.

Note that claim 11 depends from claim 8, not claim 10.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 - 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wouters et al. (US 5,547,298). Wouters et al. teach a tape holding case having recesses (63) and

Application/Control Number: 09/986,342

Art Unit: 2854

upstanding portions (41) for allowing identical cases to be attached. See the paragraph bridging columns 9 and 10 of Wouters et al.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wouters et al. Wouters et al. do not teach the recesses (63) being of a generally rectangular cross section. However, note that the upstanding portions (41) are of a generally rectangular cross section. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the recesses of Wouters et al. with a generally rectangular cross section as a mere matter of design choice.

Claims 8 - 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda et al. (US 5,145,269) in view of Falconieri et al. (US 4,983,056). Matsuda et al. teach a series of identical tape cases (33a-33c) stacked on one another. See Figures 4 - 7, in particular Figure 7, of Matsuda et al. Falconieri et al. teach the conventionality of means (31) for attaching one tape case to another. See Figures 5 - 7 of Falconieri et al., for example. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the tape cases of Matsuda et al. with means for attaching the case to another in view of Falconieri et al. so as to securely maintain the cases in alignment.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda et al. in view of Falconieri et al. as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Nozaki et al. (US 5,593,237). Nozaki et al. teach a tape printer in combination with a tape holding case (1) wherein the tape printer has a zone comprising elements (18) for interacting with means (8) of the tape holding case. See Figures 5, 8, and 9 of Nozaki et al., for example. It would have

Application/Control Number: 09/986,342

Art Unit: 2854

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the tape case of Matsuda et al., as modified by Falconieri et al., with means for interacting with the elements of the tape printer

in view of Nozaki et al. so as to position the case on the tape printer.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen Funk at telephone number (703) 308-0982. The

examiner can normally be reached M - F, except Wednesdays, from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisory, Drew Hirshfeld, can be reached at (703) 305-6619. The fax number for official

papers is (703) 308-7722, 7724. Unofficial papers can be faxed directly to the examiner at

(703) 746-4393.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist at telephone number (703) 308-0956.

Stephen Funk

March 11, 2002